UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/595,453  | 04/28/2006                 | James W. Larrick     | 1953233-00008       | 9934             |
| 48423<br>K&L Gates LL   | 7590 07/09/201<br><b>P</b> | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| ATTN: Michelle S. Glasky, Ph.D.<br>1900 MAIN STREET<br>SUITE 600<br>IRVINE, CA 92614-7319 |                            |                      | AZPURU, CARLOS A    |                  |
|   |                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                            |                      | 1615                |                  |
|   |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                            |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                            |                      | 07/09/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ocipgroup@klgates.com michelle.glasky@klgates.com

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment  | 10/595,453  | LARRICK ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Carlos A. Azpuru  | 1615           |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ap</u>   | oril 2010   |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | <i>,</i> —  |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>62 and 68-88</u> is/are pending in the app   | 4) Claim(s) 62 and 68-88 is/are pending in the application.   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | · · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   | ·   |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | 4)  Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5)  Notice of Informal Pa   | te             |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  |   |                |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/595,453 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant's election filed 04/21/2010 has been noted. Applicant is thanksed for the election. However, during review of the the elected invention, it became clear that an additional election should have been done between the claimed bioactives.

This examiner apologizes for the oversight.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species 1) des-methyl tocopherol, 2)a phytyl substituted chromanol, 3) and a palm oil agent . The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement

Art Unit: 1615

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,453 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

Because of the complexity of the election/restriction, a telephone call was not made to Michelle S. Glasky on 07/02/2010 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Wax can be reached on (571) 272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,453 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615 Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

caz